

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,220	03/29/2004	Don R. James JR.	200313892-1	3034
22879 9750 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			WU, JUNCHUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/812 220 JAMES ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner JUNCHUN WU 2191 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/30/2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.15-17.19-22 and 24-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,15-17,19-22 and 24-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2191

#### DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to RCE filed on May 30, 2008.

Claims 1-7, 15-17, 19-22 and 24-27 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1, 3-5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullen et al. (US Pub. No. 20040243997 A1, hereinafter "Mullen"), in view of Deshpande et al. (US Pub. No. 20030218628 A1, hereinafter "Deshpande").

Per claim 1 (Currently amended)

#### Mullen discloses

A method for controlling program installation on a computing device, the method comprising:

- an installer program that executes on the computing device determining the type of an
  original operating system currently installed on the computing device ([0028] "The
  installation program 52 then processes (at block 156) the application/OS configuration
  list 56 to determine the operating system configuration settings").
- the installer program that executes on the computing device determining the type of a new operating system that a user is attempting to install on the computing device to

replace the original operating system from information stored within a software package that comprises the new program operating system ([0009] "The application configuration setting information is processed to determine application configuration settings included in the computer for the at least one preexisting application program and the determined application configuration settings are copied to application configuration settings in the second file directory, wherein the installed at least one application program that executes with the second operating system uses the configuration settings copied to the second file directory.").

#### But Mullen does not disclose

- the installer program that executes on the computing device comparing on the computer device the types of the original and new operating systems to determine whether installation of the new operating system is authorized.
- the installer program that executes on the computing device preventing installation of the new operating system if the types are not the same.

### However, Deshpande discloses

• the installer program that executes on the computing device comparing on the computer device the types of the original and new operating systems to determine whether installation of the new operating system is authorized ([0028] "A determination is made as to whether the device is validated by comparing the retrieved attributes against attributes required for the installation of the software patch 15, 16, and 17 (step 106) ....
The attributes retrieved from the target device 20 may include an architecture attribute 30, an OS attribute 31, and a target application attribute 33. As noted previously, the

operating system attribute 31 and target application attribute 33 may be identical in situations where the software the patch is targeted to an operating system. If the comparison of the attributes indicates that the target device 20 meets the required validation parameters (step 106), the patch module proceeds to a dependency check for the selected software patch (step 108)" & wherein attribute includes operating system type teaches on [0027] "The operating system attribute 31 indicates the operating system type (i.e.: UnixTM, WindowTM, Linux, etc.) and the version of the operating system (i.e.: SolarisTM, 7.0) running on the selected target device. The target application attribute 33 indicates the version of the target application software that the patch is designed to update.").

- the installer program that executes on the computing device preventing installation of the new operating system if the types are not the same ([0028] "Alternatively, if the comparison of the attributes reveals that the attributes are not acceptable (step 106) the device is not validated and an appropriate error message detailing the cause of the validation failure is displayed to the user 2 (step 110).").
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teaching of Mullen with the teachings of Deshpande to include the installer program that executes on the computing device comparing on the computer device the types of the original and new operating systems(software patches as indicated by Deshpande) to determine whether installation of the new operating system (new patch) is authorized and the installer program that executes on the computing device preventing installation of the new operating system (new patch) if the types are not the

same in order to validate the target device. The system administrator must ensure that the system architecture, operating system, and target application are all the correct version for the intended patch. The user performing the installation must have a proper authorization to perform the procedure. Upon verification that the software required for the software patch is installed on the target device, the software patch is installed. (see Deshpande [0003] & [0005]).

Per claim 3 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Mullen further discloses

• determining the type of an original operating system comprises determining the type of an operating system that is embedded in rewritable, solid-state memory of a terminal computer. ([0019] "A service processor may include application programs to perform operations such as system monitoring and maintenance for the storage system in which the processor is embedded." & [0031] "a computer readable medium, such as magnetic storage medium (e.g., hard disk drives, floppy disks, tape, etc.), optical storage (CD-ROMs, optical disks, etc.), volatile and non-volatile memory devices (e.g., EEPROMs, ROMs, PROMS, RAMS, DRAMS, SRAMs, firmware, programmable logic, etc.)" & reference to claim 4 of Mullen's invention).

Per claim 4 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Mullen further discloses

determining the type of an original operating system comprises determining the
configuration of an operating system that is embedded in re-writable, solid-state memory
of a terminal computer (reject the same reason as claim 3 above).

Per claim 5 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Mullen further discloses

 determining the type of an original operating system comprises reading information stored in a management interface of the computing device ([0024] i.e. OS configuration setting and application configuration setting are stored in the file system. The file system which is resided in memory where the management interface is located).

Per claim 25 (Previously presented)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and Mullen further discloses

- the computing device receiving the software package from a software source via a
  network, wherein the software package contains the installer program ([0030]
  "Alternatively, the service technician may use the computer 2 to access a network
  location from which the installation packages can be downloaded to apply to the
  computer 2.").
- the computing device identifying the installer program contained in the software package ([0027] "FIG. 5 illustrates logic implemented in the installation program 52 to install the components in the system installation package 50.").

• the computing device installing the installer program on the computing device prior to determining whether installation of the new program is authorized ([0027] "During the installation, the preexisting operating system and applications may continue to run and perform operations while the new components 58 and 60 (FIG. 4) are being installed.")

 Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullen, in view of Deshpande, and further view of Herrick (US Pub. No.20040181790 A1).

Per claim 2 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated

But Mullen and Deshpande do not disclose

determining the versions of the original operating system and the new operating system.

However, Herrick discloses

- determining the versions of the original operating system and the new operating system
  ([0026] "identify versions or configurations of installed software on a computer for
  which it is desired to verify the currency of the installed software." & configuration of
  software implicitly included the software or program type).
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Mullen and Deshpande and further include determining the configuration of an existing program comprises determining at least one of a program type and version, and determining the configuration of a new program comprises determining at least one of a program type and version by the

teachings of Herrick in order to identify versions may be compared to an identified build level to determine compliance.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullen, in view of Deshpande, and further view of Delgado et al. (US Pub. No. 20050066324 A1, hereinafter "Delgado") and Ahuje (US Patent No. 6,122,732).

Per claim 6 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated

But Mullen and Deshpande do not disclose

determining the type of an original operating system comprises reading a program type
and version from an original equipment manufacturer (OEM) string of a desktop
management interface (DMI) of the computing device.

### However Delgado discloses

- determining the configuration of an existing program comprises reading a program type and version from an original equipment manufacturer (OEM) ([0044] "the storage media would include all the software necessary to install the retail and original equipment manufacturer (OEM) versions of a professional version, a home version, a student and teacher edition, and foreign language editions of the word processor application." & [0069] "different versions may include different type...").
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time
  the invention was made to combine teachings of Herrick and Deshpande and further
  include determining the configuration of an existing program comprises reading a

program type and version from an OEM by the teachings of Delgado in order to use OEM version expecting software to be installed by a computer reseller so it requests no information during installation. Typically, OEM version and retail version of software product have different installation behaviors (Delgado, [0003]).

Page 9

Furthermore, Delgado does not disclose

 Comprising OEM string of a desktop management interface (DMI) of the computing device.

However Ahuje discloses

- OEM string of a desktop management interface (DMI) (col.5 lines 1-3 & lines 20-25. Ahuje discloses the structure of flash ROM and relationship between OEM string and desktop management interface /system management basic input output system (DMI/SMBIOS)).
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Herrick, Deshpande, Delgado and further include comprising OEM string of a desktop management interface (DMI) of the computing device by the teachings of Ahuje in order to query management information format (MIF) database of a computer system and determine the software and hardware configuration of the system easily and quickly by system administrator using MIF and DMI/SMBIOS associated with OEM string. The MIF contains all information about the computer system and its component such as installation of the new software and hardware (col.1 lines 53-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,220

Art Unit: 2191

 Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullen, in view of Deshpande and further view of Kadam et al. (US Pub. No. 20030079126 A1, hereinafter "Kadam").

Per claim 7 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated

But Mullen and Deshpande do not disclose

 determining the type of a new operating system comprises reading configuration information from a header associated with the new operating system.

#### However Kadam discloses

- determining the configuration of a new program comprises reading configuration information from a header associated with the new program ([0029] "Software installation package 302 includes self-extracting header, installation program, user credentials, and software binaries.").
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Mullen and Deshpande and further include determining the configuration of a new program comprises reading configuration information from a header associated with the new program by the teachings of Kadam in order to use the data and programs within software installation package (Kadam, [0030] "Self-extracting header includes executable computer code, which is used to uncompress data and programs within software installation package").

Art Unit: 2191

8. Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrick,

in view of Deshpande.

Per claim 15 (Currently amended)

Herrick discloses

A computer-readable medium that stores a installer program, the installer program comprising:

logic configured to execute on a user computer and determine on the user computer the

type of an existing operating system installed on the user computer ([0009] "The target

computer may have an executable program thereon for automatically determining the

currency of software installed on the target computer ").

logic configured to execute on the user computer and determine on the user computer the

type and version of a new operating system that has been downloaded to the user

computer ([0056] "once the command line processing has been completed, the update

program may download a desired build list to allow comparison between the versions of

the actual installations to be accomplished on the target computer").

logic configured to execute on the user computer and compare on the user computer the

types of the new and existing operating systems ([0009] "The target computer may have

 $an\ executable\ program\ thereon\ for\ automatically\ determining\ the\ currency\ of\ software$ 

installed on the target computer, comparing the currency of the installed software to a

desired configuration list stored on the administrative computer, and obtaining

 $installation\ software\ from\ a\ library\ server\ including\ necessary\ updates,\ upgrades\ and$ 

 $patches \ for \ installation \ on \ the \ target \ computer. ")$ 

But Herrick does not disclose

Art Unit: 2191

 logic configured execute on the user computer and to determine that installation of the new operating system is not authorized when the types are not the same.

 logic configured to execute on the user computer and prevent installation of the new operating system when it is determined that installation is not authorized.

However, Deshpande discloses

- logic configured execute on the user computer and to determine that installation of the new operating system is not authorized when the types are not the same and logic configured to execute on the user computer and prevent installation of the new operating system when it is determined that installation is not authorized ([0028] "Alternatively, if the comparison of the attributes reveals that the attributes are not acceptable (step 106) the device is not validated and an appropriate error message detailing the cause of the validation failure is displayed to the user 2 (step 110).").
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teaching of Herrick with the teachings of Deshpande to include logic configured execute on the user computer and to determine that installation of the new operating system is not authorized when the types are not the same and logic configured to execute on the user computer and prevent installation of the new operating system when it is determined that installation is not authorized in order to validate the target device. The system administrator must ensure that the system architecture, operating system, and target application are all the correct version for the intended patch. The user performing the installation must have a proper authorization to perform the procedure. Upon verification that the software required for the software patch is installed on the target device, the software patch is installed. (see Deshpande [0003] & [0005]).

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 19 (Original)

the rejection of claim 15 is incorporated and Deshpande further discloses

logic configured to install the new operating system and replace the existing operating

system when installation is authorized [0028] "A determination is made as to whether the

 $device\ is\ validated\ by\ comparing\ the\ retrieved\ attributes\ against\ attributes\ required\ for$ 

the installation of the software patch 15, 16, and 17 (step 106).... The attributes retrieved

from the target device 20 may include an architecture attribute 30, an OS attribute 31,

and a target application attribute 33. As noted previously, the operating system attribute

31 and target application attribute 33 may be identical in situations where the software

the patch is targeted to an operating system. If the comparison of the attributes indicates

that the target device 20 meets the required validation parameters (step 106), the patch

module proceeds to a dependency check for the selected software patch (step 108)").

9. Claims 16 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrick,

in view of Deshpande and further view of Mullen.

Per claim 16 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 15 is incorporated

Herrick and Deshpande do not disclose

logic configured to determine the type of an existing operating system comprises logic

configured to read configuration information stored in a management interface of the

computing device.

However Mullen discloses

Art Unit: 2191

logic configured to determine the type of an existing operating system comprises logic configured to read configuration information stored in a management interface of the computing device ([0024] i.e. OS configuration setting and application configuration setting are stored in the file system. The file system which is resided in memory where the management interface is located).

 The feature of providing logic configured to determine the type of an existing operating system comprises logic configured to read configuration information stored in a management interface of the computing device would be obvious for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Per claim 26 (Previously presented)

the rejection of claim 15 is incorporated

Herrick and Deshpande do not disclose

• the installer program comprises part of a software package that is configured for download to the user computer, the software package further including the new operating system such that the installer program will be run on the user computer when the new operating system is downloaded to the user computer and a user attempts to install the new operating system on the user computer.

But Mullen discloses

 the installer program comprises part of a software package that is configured for download to the user computer, the software package further including the new operating system such that the installer program will be run on the user computer when the new operating system is downloaded to the user computer and a user attempts to install the new operating system on the user computer ([0030] "Alternatively, the technician may use the computer to download and execute the installation package from a remote computer over a network. The invoked installation program then displays (at block 206) installation version information 54 (FIG. 2) to request acknowledgment to proceed with installation of package components. If (at block 208) the version is what the technician intended, then the technician selects to proceed with the installation from the determined installation package.").

Page 15

- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Herrick and Deshpande and further include the installer program comprises part of a software package that is configured for download to the user computer, the software package further including the new operating system such that the installer program will be run on the user computer when the new operating system is downloaded to the user computer and a user attempts to install the new operating system on the user computer by the teachings of Mullen in order to use technician to schedule a reboot operation after installation to occur at a time of low usage for the computer, so that the newly installed operation system and application occurs with minimal interruption to the computer operations (see [0030]).
- 10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrick, in view of Deshpande and further view of Kadam.

Per claim 17 (Original)

Page 16

Application/Control Number: 10/812,220

Art Unit: 2191

the rejection of claim 15 is incorporated

Herrick and Deshpande do not disclose

the logic configured to determine the type of a new operating system comprises logic

Configured to read configuration information from a header associated with the new

operating system.

But Kadam discloses

• the logic configured to determine the type of a new operating system comprises logic

Configured to read configuration information from a header associated with the new

 $operating \ system \ ([0029] \ "Software \ installation \ package \ 302 \ includes \ self-extracting$ 

header, installation program, user credentials, and software binaries.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to combine teachings of Herrick and Deshpande and further

include determining the configuration of a new program comprises reading configuration information from a header associated with the new program by the teachings of Kadam in

order to use the data and programs within software installation package (Kadam, [0030]

"Self-extracting header includes executable computer code, which is used to uncompress

data and programs within software installation package").

11. Claims 20, 21, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Mullen, in view of Deshpande.

Per claim 20 (Currently amended)

Mullen discloses

A computing device, comprising: a processor; and memory comprising a previouslyinstalled operating system, a management interface that comprises information that
describes the type and version of previously-installed the operating system ([0019] "The
computer further includes an operating system and operating system configuration
setting..." & See Fig. 4).

# an installer program configured to

- determine the type of the previously-installed operating system from the information of
  the management interface ([0028] "The installation program 52 then processes (at block
  156) the application/OS configuration list 56 to determine the operating system
  configuration settings").
- determine the type of a new operating system with which a user is attempting to replace the previously-installed operating system from information stored within a software package that contains the new operating system ([0009] "The application configuration setting information is processed to determine application configuration settings included in the computer for the at least one preexisting application program and the determined application configuration settings are copied to application configuration settings in the second file directory, wherein the installed at least one application program that executes with the second operating system uses the configuration settings copied to the second file directory.").

But Mullen does not disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/812,220

Art Unit: 2191

compare the types of the new and previously-installed operating systems and determine
that installation of the new operating system is not authorized if the types of the new and
previously-installed operating system are not the same.

Page 18

 prevent installation of the new operating system if it is determined that installation is not authorized.

However, Deshpande discloses

- compare the types of the new and previously-installed operating systems and determine that installation of the new operating system is not authorized if the types of the new and previously-installed operating system are not the same and prevent installation of the new operating system if it is determined that installation is not authorized ([0028] "Alternatively, if the comparison of the attributes reveals that the attributes are not acceptable (step 106) the device is not validated and an appropriate error message detailing the cause of the validation failure is displayed to the user 2 (step 110).").
- Therefore, Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teaching of Mullen with the teachings of Deshpande to include compare the types of the new and previously-installed operating systems and determine that installation of the new operating system is not authorized if the types of the new and previously-installed operating system are not the same and prevent installation of the new operating system if it is determined that installation is not authorized in order to validate the target device. The system administrator must ensure that the system architecture, operating system, and target application are all the correct version for the intended patch. The user performing the installation must have a proper

authorization to perform the procedure. Upon verification that the software required for the software patch is installed on the target device, the software patch is installed. (see Deshpande [0003] & [0005]).

Per claim 21 (Currently amended)

the rejection of claim 20 is incorporated

Mullen further discloses

• the memory comprises re-writable, solid-state memory and wherein the previously-installed operating system is embedded within the solid-state memory ([0019] "A service processor may include application programs to perform operations such as system monitoring and maintenance for the storage system in which the processor is embedded." & [0031] "a computer readable medium, such as magnetic storage medium (e.g., hard disk drives, floppy disks, tape, etc.), optical storage (CD-ROMs, optical disks, etc.), volatile and non-volatile memory devices (e.g., EEPROMs, ROMs, PROMS, RAMS, DRAMS, SRAMs, firmware, programmable logic, etc.)" & reference to claim 4 of Mullen's invention).

Per claim 24 (Original)

the rejection of claim 20 is incorporated and further, Mullen discloses

the computing device is a terminal computer that does not comprise a hard drive ([0019]
 "The computer 2 may include any computing device known in the art").

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 27 (Previously presented)

the rejection of claim 20 is incorporated and further, Mullen discloses

• the installer program comprises part of the software package such that the installer program will be run on the user computer when the new operating system is downloaded to the user computer from a software source and a user attempts to install the new operating system on the computing device ([0030] "Alternatively, the service technician may use the computer 2 to access a network location from which the installation packages can be downloaded to apply to the computer 2. The technician diagnosis the situation and determines (at block 202) the version of the installation package 50 to

apply .... ").

 Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullen, in view of Deshpande, and further view of Delgado and Ahuje.

Per claim 22 (Original)

the rejection of claim 20 is incorporated and Mullen and Deshpande do not disclose

the management interface comprises a desktop management interface (DMI) and the
configuration information is stored in an original equipment manufacturer (OEM) string
contained within the DMI.

However Delgado discloses

configuration information is stored in an original equipment manufacturer (OEM) ([0044]
 "the storage media would include all the software necessary to install the retail and
 original equipment manufacturer (OEM) versions of a professional version, a home

Unit: 2191

version, a student and teacher edition, and foreign language editions of the word processor application." & [0069] "different versions may include different type...").

• Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Mullen and Delgado and further to include configuration information is stored in an original equipment manufacturer (OEM) by the teachings of Delgado in order to use OEM version expecting software to be installed by a computer reseller so it requests no information during installation.
Typically, OEM version and retail version of software product have different installation behaviors (Delgado, 100031).

Furthermore Mullen, Deshpande and Delgado do not disclose

 the management interface comprises a desktop management interface (DMI) and OEM string contained within the DMI.

However Ahuje discloses

- the management interface comprises a desktop management interface (DMI) and OEM string contained within the DMI (col.5 lines 1-3 & lines 20-25).
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Mullen, Deshpande and Delgado and further include comprising the management interface comprises a desktop management interface (DMI) and OEM string contained within the DMI by the teachings of Ahuje in order to query management information format (MIF) database of a computer system and determine the software and hardware configuration of the system easily and quickly by system administrator using MIF and DMI/SMBIOS associated with OEM string. The

MIF contains all information about the computer system and its component such as installation of the new software and hardware (col.1 lines 53-59).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on May 30, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- · In the remarks, Applicant argues that:
- (a) In regard to independent claims 1, 15 and 20 applicant respectfully submits currently amended claims that cited reference does not disclose or suggest.

# Examiner's response:

Examiner disagrees.

(a) Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims 1, 15, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection see Deshpande et al., arts made of record, as applied hereto.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junchun Wu whose telephone number is 571-270-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JW

/Wei Y Zhen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191

1